## **AOBC Apologetics**

Evidence for the Reliability of the Bible: Authenticity & Agreement

| Quick Re | view: I | Ooes G | od Ex | ist? |
|----------|---------|--------|-------|------|
|----------|---------|--------|-------|------|

| A.  | (Is   | of the Text the text the same today as when it was written?)   |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| В.  |   | Of the Text  (Are there disagreements between the human authors of the text?)  |  |  |  |
| C.  |   | of the Text  (Are there any geographical, historical, or other errors in the text?)  |  |  |  |
| D.  |   | of the Text hen and by whom was the Text received as true?)  |  |  |  |
| Aut | then  | ticity of the O.T. Documents   |  |  |  |
| A.  | Hel   | prew Manuscripts of the Masoretic Text   |  |  |  |
|     | 1.  | : Hand written copies before the printing press  |  |  |  |
|     | 2.  | : Masoretes (Scribes @700 AD)  Took the Hebrew text and added vowel points. (To preserve tradition of how words were pronounced) |  |  |  |
|     | 3.  | There are @ 1000 Masoretic Manuscripts as far back as 1000 A.D   |  |  |  |
| В.  | B. Support of the Hebrew Text                         |  |  |  |  |
|     | 1.  | Jeremiah Seal, Nash Papyrus, Hinnom Valley Amulets   |  |  |  |
|     | 2.  | of the Scribes   |  |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | 3.  | Pentateuch (Revised Jewish Pentateuch - Nehemiah 2 & 4)  |  |  |  |
|     | 3.  | Pentateuch (Revised Jewish Pentateuch - Nehemiah 2 & 4)  (Aramaic Paraphrases - Nehemiah 8:7-8)                                  |  |  |  |
|     | 3.  |  |  |  |  |
|     | <ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li><li>5.</li></ul>            | (Aramaic Paraphrases - Nehemiah 8:7-8)   |  |  |  |
|     | <ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li><li>5.</li><li>6.</li></ul> | (Aramaic Paraphrases - Nehemiah 8:7-8)(LXX) (Greek Translation of OT @ 280 BC)   |  |  |  |

| C. Dea | ad Sea So          | erolls (DSS) (Found in 1947 by a Bedouin Shepherd)                                    |
|--------|--------------------|---|
| 1.     | Entire s           | croll of 1st Cave of Qumran, Scroll A.  |
| 2.     | Every I            | Book of the OT (except) has been found.   |
| 3.     | The DS             | S also have   |
| 4.     | Three _<br>further | back were foundwhich requires the original goes muc                                   |
| 5.     | @ 200              | manuscripts dated @ 200 BC.   |
| 6.     | The tex            | t today is the as it was when it was written  |
|        |                    | TY of the NT ame today as when it was written?)                                       |
| A      |                    | Manuscripts of the NT Documents   |
| 1.     | How                | are the manuscripts?  |
|        | a                  | Sinaiticus @ 350 AD   |
|        | b. Co              | dex @ 325 AD  |
|        | c. Ch              | ester Beatty @ 250 AD   |
|        | d                  | Papyrus @ 175 AD  |
|        | e                  | Papyrus @ 125 AD  |
|        |                    |   |
| 2.     | How _              | manuscripts do we have?   |
|        | a. Ov              | er 20,000 manuscripts, 5000 Greek, 15000 other languages, 86,000 patristic citations. |
|        | b                  | Manuscripts   |
|        | i.                 | @ 90  |
|        |                    | (early paper, from papyrus plant, style)  |
|        | ii.                | @ 300 (all capital letters, no punctuation, no spaces between words, on)              |
|        | iii.               | @ 2800  |
|        |                    | (used a cursive Greek style with punctuation)   |
|        | iv.                | - @ 2200  |
|        |                    | (church service books, which contain scriptural passages)                             |

III.

|    | 3.   | How widely                                     | are                                | the manuscripts?    |                    |                      |
|----|------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|    | 4.   | How well do the m                              | nanuscripts                        | ?                   |                    |                      |
|    |      | a. Many  | BU                                 | JT 98.3% in agree   | ment.              |                      |
|    |      | b. The variations (i.e. Mark 16:               | do not affect<br>9-20; John 7:53-8 |                     |                    |                      |
|    | 5.   |  | WE are in 98.                      | .3% agreement wi    | th the original te | xt.                  |
|    | 6.   | 98.3% agreement i                              | s a modern                         | ·                   |                    |                      |
| C. | If v | Wrive lost every other not gonly the Patristic | nanuscript alread                  | y discussedwe o     | could rewrite the  | entire New Testament |
| D. | "M   | anuscript                                      | ,,                                 |                     |                    |                      |
|    |      | Scribal  |                                    |                     |                    |                      |
|    |      | Scribal Mistakes d                             |                                    |                     | e or the purity of | f the text.          |
|    |      | a. 1 Samuel 6:19                               |                                    | <del></del>         | 1 ,                |                      |
|    |      | b. 1 John 5:6-7                                |                                    |                     |                    |                      |
|    |      | EMENT of the Text ere any disagreemen          | ts between huma                    | n authors of the te | ext?)              |                      |
| A. | Wh   | y is Agreement Imp                             | oortant?                           |                     |                    |                      |
| В. |      | sual Reading shows books, $\geq 1500$ yrs,     |                                    |                     | )                  |                      |
| C. | (No  |  | disagre                            | ements are          | i                  | t shows there was no |
|    | COL  | laboration/collusion                           | between the auth                   | iors)               |                    |                      |

IV.

| D. ( | Cle | arıng up Apparent I   | Disagreements  |                      |
|------|-----|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
|      | 1.  | More                  | Account (i.e. Gen 1:26-27; Gen 2:5-25)   |                      |
|      | 2.  |                       | Record of Lie (i.e. I Sam 31:3-6; II Sam 1:5-10)   |                      |
|      | 3.  |                       | Chronology   |                      |
|      | 4.  |                       | of Reigns  |                      |
|      | 5.  | Scribal               | (i.e. I Kings 4:26; 2 Chron 9:25)  |                      |
|      | 6.  | (i.e. Mt 21:12-17, Mk | Events<br>11:15-19, Lk 19:45-48 vs Jn 2:13-16 or Mt 14:13-21, Mk 6:30-45 vs M                  | t 15:32-38, Mk 8:1-9 |
|      | 7.  | Author                | (i.e. Mt 20:29-34, Mk 10:46-52, Lk 18:35-43)   |                      |
|      | 8.  |                       | (i.e. Mt 14:22-33, Mk 6:46-56, Jn 6:15-21)   |                      |
| E. I | Pos | itive Conclusion      |  |                      |
|      | 1.  |                       | will find disagreements in factual, ethical, theological areas                                 | s.                   |
|      | 2.  | Upon closer exam      | ination, these disagreements can easily b  | e harmonized.        |
|      | 3.  |                       | disagreements are actually strong t demonstrates the authors were not collaborating or in coll |                      |

www.oneminuteapologist.com www.reasonablefaith.org